

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/724,020 | 11/26/2003 | Stephen C. Evans | 5681-74800 | 7260 |
| 58467 MHKKG/SUN | 7590 09/15/200 | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, 1X 78767 | | | LIM, KRISNA | |
| AUSTIN, TX | /8/6/ | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2153 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/15/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | Reexamination | EVANS ET AL. | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/724,020 | | | | | | |
| Review | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| Review | Krisna Lim | 2153 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re | quest for Review filed 8/1/200 | 8. | | | | | |
| Improper Request – The Request is reason(s): | s improper and a conference | will not be held for the | e following | | | | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not beer☐ The request does not include reas☐ A proposed amendment is include☐ Other: | ons why a review is appropria | ite. | st. | | | | |
| The time period for filing a response continute mail date of the last Office communication. | | | ppeal or from | | | | |
| 2. ☐ Proceed to Board of Patent Appea held. The application remains under appea is required to submit an appeab irief in act brief will be reset to be one month from mr running from the receipt of the notice of ar appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1. of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | al because there is at least on cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. ailing this decision, or the bala opeal, whichever is greater. For | e actual issue for app The time period for fil ance of the two-month urther, the time period | peal. Applicant ing an appeal In time period If for filing of the | | | | |
| ☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | | | |
| 4. ☒ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | | | |

All participants:

| (1) KENNY S. LIN. | (3) Glenton Burgess. |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| (2) Krisna Lim. | (4) |
| /Glenton B. Burgess/ | |

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2153